their original schedule. Their details are as under:—

(Amount in Rs. crores)

Sl. No.	Name of Project	Plan of Start	Approved Cost (Year)	Latest estimated Cost	Cost escalation
1	Kalararapalli Reservoir Project	V	5.52 (1982)	13.30	7.78
2	Periyar Vaigai Improvements Phase-II	VI	124.72 (1992)	124.72	..

(c) The general reasons for time and cost overrun on irrigation projects are:—

(i) *Technical*

Limited investigations for preparing feasibility report, and consequent changes in scope and designs of project during implementation, inadequate provisions in the original estimates for infrastructure facilities, land acquisition, rehabilitation and resettlement and environmental safeguards, etc.

(ii) *Fiancial*

Rise in prices during construction, non-availability of adequate funds, escalation in the cost of acquisition of lands.

(iii) *Other reasons*

Labour trouble, contractual problems, agitation by environmentalists and the land bustees, natural calamities.

(d) No, Sir.

(e) Does not arise.

Review Committee on Cardar Sarovar Project

1910. SHRI G. G. SWELL:
SHRI CHIMANBHAI MEHTA:
SHRI ANANTRAY DEV-SHANKER DAVE:
MAULANA OBAIDULLAH KHAN AZMI:

Will the Minister of WATER RESOURCES be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Review Committee set up by Government regarding Sardar Sarovar Project implementation has gone beyond the terms of reference and Narmada Tribunal Award;

(b) what is the content of the report;

(c) whether the recommendations of the Review Committee violate the Narmada Tribunal Award in any respect; and

(d) if so, the details thereof?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF WATER RESOURCES (SHRI P. V. RANGAYYA NAIDU): (a) to (d) The Ministry of Water Resources, Government of India constituted a Five Member Group to continue the review discussions initiated during end of June, 1993 on all issues related to Sardar Sarovar Project. The salient features of the Report submitted by the Five Member Group are given in the enclosed statement .(*See* below) The Report of the Five Member Group covers aspects on (i) Hydrology, (ii) Irrigation benefits, (iii) Drinking Water, (iv) Hydro-electric Power, (v) Environmental issues, (vi) Resettlement & Rehabilitation, and (vii) Height of Dam. However, as per Narmada Water Dispute Tribunal Award the parameters of full reservoir level (which is referred to as the height of the dam) and apportionment of utilisable quantum of Narmada Waters are subjected to review only after a period of 45 years from the date of publication of the

decision of the Tribunal in the Official Gazette (1979) i.e. only after 2024 A.D.

Statement

Content of the Report of Five Member Group

(i) Hydrology—Issues on hydrology (availability of water) should be examined and resolved once for all.

(ii) Irrigation benefits—While it is possible to achieve irrigation efficiency of 60 percent, greater project management efforts are required.

(iii) Drinking water—Details of drinking water supply to the villages and urban centres should be finalised including funding arrangements. Efforts should be intensified for watershed development, local storages and conservation, recharging of acquifiers, etc.

(iv) Hydro electric power—Use of alternative sources of power should also be explored.

(v) Environmental issues—Efforts on environmental safeguard measures should be stepped up and closely monitored. This should include the downstream effects also.

(vi) Resettlement & Rehabilitation—Master plan for resettlement & rehabilitation of project affected persons should be prepared immediately, if not available already. The Resettlement & Rehabilitation measures should b estrictly adhered to Grievances and redressal mechanism should be established in the form of Ombudsman and assistance of voluntary agencies should be utilised.

(vii) Height of dam—Issue regarding reduction in height of dam should be resolved between Government of Gujarat and Madhya Pradesh.

Revision of SC/ST Lists in Arunachal Pradesh

1911. SHRI NYODEK YONGGAM: Will the Minister of WELFARE be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that in 1989, Government of Arunachal Pradesh had recommended for comprehensive revision of SC/ST Lists of Arunachal Pradesh;

(b) if so, whether Central Government have not taken any decision in this regard so far; and

(c) what are the reasons for such delay?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF WELFARE (SHRI K. V. THANGKA BALU): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) and (c) This proposal alongwith similar other cases have been referred to an Advisory Committee for examination. The report of the Committee is awaited.

गुजरात में अनुसूचित जातियों/अनुसूचित जनजातियों की जनसंख्या

12912. **श्री गोपाल सिंह जी० सोलंकी :**

क्या कल्याण मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि :

(क) गुजरात में राज्य की कुल जनसंख्या की तुलना में अनुसूचित जातियों/जनजातियों से संबंधित व्यक्तियों का अनुपात कितना है ;

(ख) इनमें से ऐसे व्यक्तियों की संख्या कितनी-कितनी है, जो क्रमशः शहरी और ग्रामीण क्षेत्रों में रह रहे हैं ;

(ग) क्या सरकार ने उनके विकास हेतु कोई समयबद्ध कार्यक्रम बनाया है ;

(घ) यदि हां, तो तत्संबंधी ब्यौरा क्या है ; और